

**SUPREME COURT MINUTES
THURSDAY, MAY 12, 2011
SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA**

S089311**PEOPLE v. HENRIQUEZ
(CHRISTOPHER)**

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon counsel Lynne S. Coffin's representation that she anticipates filing the appellant's reply brief by June 20, 2011, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to June 20, 2011. After that date, no further extension will be granted.

S092615**PEOPLE v. DEEN (OMAR
RICHARD)**

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to July 15, 2011.

S135855**PEOPLE v. AVILA
(ALEJANDRO)**

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon counsel Jonathan P. Milberg's representation that he anticipates filing the appellant's opening brief by September 9, 2011, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to July 15, 2011. After that date, only one further extension totaling about 60 additional days is contemplated.

An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

S045078**PEOPLE v. CLARK (ROYAL)**

Request for judicial notice denied

Appellant's "Motion for Judicial Notice," filed on August 4, 2003, is denied.

S179194 H034040 Sixth Appellate District**DUNCAN (JOHN C.) v.
WORKERS'
COMPENSATION APPEALS
BOARD (X.S.)**

Request for judicial notice granted

Petitioner's Motion for Judicial Notice, filed April 26, 2010, is granted with respect to exhibits 2, 7, 8, 9, and 11, and denied with respect to exhibits 3, 4, 5, and 6. Petitioner's motion is further denied with respect to exhibits 1 (the Opinion and Decision of the Workers' Compensation Appeals Board below) and 10 (the decision of the Workers' Compensation Administrative Law Judge below) as those exhibits are already made part of the official record on review.

S191341 F059287 Fifth Appellate District**PEOPLE v. SANDERS
(MAURICE D.)**

Order filed

The order filed on May 11, 2011 is hereby amended to read in its entirety:

The petition for review is denied.

Review is granted on the court's own motion.

The issues to be briefed and argued are limited to the following: (1) Is possession of a firearm after conviction of a specified violent offense (Pen. Code § 12021.1, subd. (a)) a necessarily included offense of possession of a firearm after conviction of a felony (Pen. Code § 12021, subd. (a)(1))? (2) Was defendant properly sentenced to concurrent terms for his simultaneous possession of two firearms in violation of Penal Code section 12021, subdivision (a)(1)?

For the purposes of briefing and oral argument, The People are deemed the petitioner in this court. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.520(a)(6).)